

**CITATION:** "Appling vs. Morris, et. al." 17 October 1845, Albemarle County, Virginia, Chancery Book 2, pg. 177.

**DOCUMENT TITLE: Appling vs. Morris, et. al. (1845)**

Austin M. Appling (Plt)

against

John Morris atorn<sup>y</sup> of Abram Eades dec<sup>d</sup>, Shepherd Eades, Susan Martin, Charlotte Eades, George Martin and Milly his wife, Joseph C. Scruggs and Frances his wife and Abram E. Chandler, Richard C. Chandler Jr., Samuel Billups and Sarah E. his wife formally Sarah E. Chandler, John A.M. Chandler, W<sup>m</sup> T.M. Chandler, Richard Hughes & Martha A. his wife formally Martha A. Chandler and Joseph E. Chandler the children of Sally Chandler and Joseph E. Martin.

This day came the parties by their attornies - thereupon a Copy of the decree of the Court of Appeals in this cause was this day produced to the Court, and is in these and to wit –

“Virginia: At a Court of Appeals held at the Capital in the City of Richmond on monday November 11<sup>th</sup> 1844.

Appellant} Upon an appeal from a decree pronounced by the Circuit Supr Court of Law & Chancery for Albermarle County on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of October 1843 in a suit in which the appellant was Plt & the appellees were Defendants..

Austin M. Appling

against

John Morris attn<sup>y</sup> of **Abram Eades** dec<sup>d</sup>, Shepherd Eades, Susan Martin, Charlotte Eades, George Martin and Milly his wife, **Joseph C. Scruggs and Frances** his wife and Abram E. Chandler, Richard C. Chandler Jr., Sam<sup>l</sup> Billups Sarah & his wife formally Sarah E. Chandler, Jno A N Chandler, W<sup>m</sup> T M Chandler, Richard Hughes & Martha A. his wife formally Martha A. Chandler & Joseph E. Chandler the children of Sally Chandler & Joseph E Martin

Appellants

This day came the Parties by their counsel, and the Court having maturely considered the transcript of the record of the decree aforesaid and the arguments of counsel, is of opinion that there is no error in the said decree; Therefore it is decreed and ordered that the same be affirmed, and that the appellant do pay unto the appellees, their costs by them about their defence in this behalf expended. Which is ordered to be certified to the said Circuit Superior Court. – Appellees costs in the court of Appeals

**CITATION:** "Appling vs. Morris, et. al." 17 October 1845, Albemarle County, Virginia, Chancery Book 2, pg. 177.

\$42:66\_ certify Teste J. Allen

In pursuance whereof it is adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court that the Bill of the Plaintiff be dismissed and that he pay unto the said Defendants their costs by them about their own defence in this behalf expended as also their costs expended in defending said Appeal.